



PURCHASING DEPARTMENT
1772 County Services Parkway
Marietta, Georgia 30008-4012
(770) 528-8400/FAX (770) 528-1154

Mark Kohntopp
INTERIM DIRECTOR

ADDENDUM No. 1

**Sealed Bid # 10-5537
Request for Proposal
Development of Cobb Address Repository Interfaces
DATE: October 20, 2010**

Page 1 of 4

The following addendum hereby amends and/or modifies the Proposal Documents and specifications as originally issued for this project. All proposers are subject to the provisions of this Addendum.

Proposers shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum.

Include this original form inside your proposal package.

This Addendum consists of:

- **Minutes of the October 12, 2010 Pre-Proposal Conference**
- **Questions submitted in writing**

All bids must be received before 12:00 (noon) by the Bid Opening date. Bids shall be delivered to Cobb County Purchasing Department, 1772 County Services Parkway, Marietta, GA 30008.

Electronic / faxed bid response will not be considered.

I acknowledge that I have received Addendum No. 1

**Sealed Bid # 10-5537
Request for Proposal
Development of Cobb Address Repository Interfaces
DATE: October 20, 2010**

Company Name

Signature

Date Sent to Purchasing

Please Print Name

Please sign, date, and return this form ONLY to:
Cobb County Purchasing Department
Fax #: 770-528-1154
E-Mail: purchasing@cobbcounty.org

Please note: The deadline for questions is: October 19, 2010 by 5:00 pm
Any questions received after this deadline will not be considered.

Addendum 1
Cobb County Sealed Bid # 10-5537
Request for Proposal
Development of Cobb Address Repository Interfaces

**Minutes of the October 12, 2010 Pre-Proposal Conference and
questions submitted in writing**

1. Did the County develop the CAR?

The CAR was developed by a vendor, Spatial Focus, Inc.

2. Is the CAR with the GIS? Does the CAR SQL Server database sit inside SDE?

The County's GIS infrastructure was used for the CAR. The current GIS system architecture includes two database servers configured in a Windows cluster and SQL Server cluster, as well as a back end SAN storage device, together which provide a robust, higher-availability environment than other alternatives that were available during development and implementation.

The main tabular data for the CAR is stored in a separate (non-GIS, non-ArcSDE) database within the same clustered environment. There is a related point layer for the CAR that is stored in an enterprise (ArcSDE) database, as well as spatial database views, but it is not anticipated that the point layer, which only contains the AddressId, will be needed for this project.

3. Can we assume that the County will be responsible for setting up a development environment consisting of CAR and each of the five applications?

The County will work with the business application vendors as needed, and coordinate with the selected vendor, to set up test environments for each of the five business applications.

4. What level of participation can be expected from the County's IT and Business stakeholders?

IT support personnel and key stakeholders for each of the five business applications will be very involved in the project. In addition, depending on requirements of the solutions being proposed, it may be necessary to work with the vendors for the respective applications.

5. The RFP states that a Performance Bond will be required, however, during the pre-proposal meeting it was stated a Bond will not be required. Will the County be sending out an addendum to this effect?

During the pre-proposal meeting it was stated that a Bid Bond will not be required. Although a Bid Bond is not required for this RFP, a Performance Bond will still be required.

6. Section 1.2 of the RFP states:

“It is extremely important that project schedules are met.”

However, we did not see a required delivery schedule in the RFP. Please prioritize the five applications that are to share CAR data and provide a desired delivery schedule for each.

The County is expecting interested vendors to propose and follow project delivery timelines. The project schedule included with the proposal can be expressed in relative days and weeks

from the project beginning. At contract initiation, these relative timelines can be translated to actual delivery dates.

As mentioned in the RFP, some of the five business applications are undergoing or about to undergo upgrades. The Tax IAS is currently being upgraded to a new version, and there are also some legislative related changes being made. This work will need to be completed prior to summer 2011 so that the next tax digest can be completed. Original plans to upgrade Water System Maximo in the first quarter of 2011 have been changed because IBM has communicated the desired version of Maximo will not be ready until the end of April 2011. The Water System is now looking at July 2011 as a possible start date. In addition, DOT also expects to upgrade Cartegraph this fall in conjunction with the County's migration to ArcGIS 10, but this should be completed prior to contract execution.

It is not desirable to develop/implement interfaces to these business applications prior to, or during, the upgrades. Given the planned upgrades to IAS and Maximo, CAR interfaces for the other three business applications Accela, Banner and Cartegraph will likely need to be completed first.

7. Section 3.3.1 of the RFP states:

"...the county seeks to build a reusable set of validation tools, which could be based on web services and that can be combined with scripts and other programs to accomplish the goals described herein."

We interrupt this to mean that the County is looking to have the vendor develop a web service(s) that will perform address validation on physical addresses between the CAR and the five business applications. Please confirm and verify that the five applications all support to a web services.

The development of web services is certainly a potential solution; one the County has thought of but not explored. The County is looking for vendors to offer solutions based on their experience with developing similar interfaces.

8. Please confirm that the "interface" between the CAR and the five business applications will consist of scripts that make use of the re-useable web service(s).

The County is looking for vendors to offer solutions based on their experience with developing similar interfaces.

9. Is Parcel Id Number (PIN) unique to addresses in the CAR?

No, many addresses might fall in a single parcel. Conversely, one address may span several parcels. AddressId is the unique identifier for addresses.

10. Which Ventyx product is "Banner?"

Water System is not using the most recent version of the software; they are using a version that may have been released by Indus prior to being bought by Ventyx (version 4, as described in the RFP). The product is referred to as Indus Customer Suite.

11. Please describe the use of any Address Locator tools or web services that work with the CAR or any of the five business applications.

A portion of the Accela Automation application can perform geocoding using an address point layer referenced within the ArcIMS map service that it utilizes. The Cartegraph CALLdirector module utilizes an address locator stored in ArcSDE that allows call takers to find addresses and intersections. There are currently no web services that work with the CAR.

12. Given that changes to any of the five business applications is out of scope we assume that the web service(s) and any related script interface would be run/launched from outside the business applications. Please confirm.

Each application will have different capabilities when it comes to an interface with the CAR. The statement may be true for some applications, but the County is looking for vendors to offer solutions based on their experience with the applications involved.

13. Would the County consider a fixed bid price on the development of a detail interface specification for each of the five business systems that would provide sufficient detail to provide a responsible bid on building the desired interfaces?

The County prefers a fixed bid price on the project as described in the RFP, with all of the vendors' assumptions reflected in their respective proposals. In addition, vendors may also submit a separate project description and cost proposal for alternative phased approaches.

14. Does the County plan to use the CAR data to replace or update the existing data in all 5 of the applications or just act as a validation tool?

Both. Any address data stored by the business applications will eventually need to be updated to reflect correct/standardized addresses stored in the CAR. The CAR interfaces described in the RFP will make it possible to validate/standardize new addresses being entered.

15. Would the County like to have an auto-complete or auto-replace feature in each application that would choose the correct CAR address based on entries from the user side?

Yes, an auto-complete feature represents an ideal situation. Although it is not clear how this would be accomplished without major modifications to the business application user interface, the County is very receptive to evaluating this type of solution.